## **EXHIBIT 20**

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1921-24 Filed: 07/19/19 2 of 4. PageID #: 939 CONFIDEN Report of Matthew Perri III in Case no. 17-OP-45004 (N.D. Ohio) and Case No. 18-OP-45090 (N.D. Ohio) Confidential and Subject to Protective Order	1TIAL
Expert Report of Matthew Perri III, BS Pharm, PhD, RPh	
March 25, 2019	

## Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1921-24 Filed: 07/19/19 3 of 4. PageID #: 93985 CONFIDENTIAL

Report of Matthew Perri III in Case no. 17-OP-45004 (N.D. Ohio) and Case No. 18-OP-45090 (N.D. Ohio) Confidential and Subject to Protective Order

wholesale distributors and pharmacy providers. Therefore, wholesale distributors and pharmacies are integral to the Defendants' marketing of opioids.

185.	The Defendants' marketing messages distributed by the wholesalers focused generally
	on price, availability, and other features of interest to pharmacy and other buyers. <sup>368</sup>

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1921-24 Filed: 07/19/19 4 of 4. PageID #: 93986

Report of Matthew Perri III in Case no. 17-OP-45004 (N.D. Ohio) and Case No. 18-OP-45090 (N.D. Ohio) Confidential and Subject to Protective Order

189. Using these general themes, Defendants used a battery of specific marketing messages designed

to increase product awareness and systematically remove existing barriers: effectively changing

how Customers viewed opioids. Other experts evaluated the nature of these messages and

provided the opinions that Defendants' marketing messages were false, misleading, inaccurate,

or designed to misstate the risks and benefits of Defendants' drugs. Defendants also

downplayed the negative aspects of their products and convinced prescribers, and others, to

use opioids sooner in treating pain, at higher doses, and for a broader spectrum of pain types.

190. Further, Defendants' marketing activities with influencers, KOLs, and professional/advocacy

organizations gave their messages more credibility because Defendants hid their funding and

influence from the medical community and the public. This created the perception that the

information from these marketing efforts was unbiased and more scientific which mislead

Customers about the impartiality of the messages.

191. The marketing strategies and tactics Defendants used were effective at gaining market share

and expanding the overall market for opioids. This led to a dramatic rise in utilization of opioids

in the U.S.

192. Defendants violated marketing standards by creating and disseminating false or misleading

marketing messages that downplayed or minimized the risks associated with opioids, while

emphasizing the benefits of their drugs, and by disguising their support of activities aimed at

increasing sales of their own products.

V. SIGNATURE

193. I reserve the right to amend my opinions in this matter considering any new or additional

information.

March 25, 2019

Matthew Perri III BS Pharm, PhD, RPh

Date